

Kalman Filter based Observer Design for Handling Dynamics : The Sideslip Estimation Problem

Matt Best

Department of Aeronautical and Automotive Engineering Loughborough University



Kalman filter background

Popular method ! (174 hits for 'vehicle Kalman filter' - Compendex '95 - '00) eg vehicle handling/stability, suspension control, global positioning

Classical uses :

- Real-time state reconstruction
- Filtering

Specific vehicle handling goals :

- Reconstruction of handling states from small sensor set (real-time ?)
- . . . particularly side-slip velocity (vehicle attitude when cornering)
- Tyre force prediction / modelling
- Model identification



Kalman Filter Operation



The Linear Kalman Filter

 $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = \mathbf{A}_{d} \mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{B}_{d} \mathbf{u}(k) + \mathbf{w}(k)$ $\mathbf{y}_{s}(k) = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}(k) + \mathbf{v}(k)$

x(k), u(k) : true states and inputsw(k) : modelling errors $y_s(k)$: sensor measurementv(k) : sensor model errors + measurement noise

For an optimal observer, w(k) and v(k) are zero mean white noise processes

$$\mathbf{e}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\omega}_{k} \\ \mathbf{v}_{k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad E(\mathbf{e}_{k}\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{R} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \text{`balance' the Kalman Filter} (LQR \text{ design}) \quad \mathsf{K}$$

 $x_{e}(k+1) = A_{d}x_{e}(k) + B_{d}u(k) + K \{y_{s}(k) - Cx_{e}(k) - Du(k)\}$

Three Simulation Studies on Handling Observers

Study 1 : Linear and Linear Adaptive Kalman Filter

Best M.C. and Gordon T.J., '*Real-Time State Estimation of Vehicle Handling Dynamics Using an Adaptive Kalman Filter*' proceedings from the 4th International Symposium on Advanced Vehicle Control (AVEC), Nagoya, Japan, September 1998, pp 183-188

Study 2 : Nonlinear Adaptive Kalman Filter

Best M.C., Gordon T.J. and Dixon P.J., '*An Extended Adaptive Kalman Filter for Real-time State Estimation of Vehicle Handling Dynamics,*' Vehicle System Dynamics : International Journal of Vehicle Mechanics and Mobility, Vol 34, No 1, pp 57-75, 2000.

Study 3 : Nonlinear Adaptive for states and parameters

Best M.C. and Gordon T.J., *'Combined State and Parameter Estimation of Vehicle Handling Dynamics'* proceedings from the 5th International Symposium on Advanced Vehicle Control (AVEC), Ann Arbor, USA, August 2000, pp 429-436



Study 1 summary

Source model: Yaw / roll / sideslip with

- Pacejka lateral tyre force model
- lateral load transfer & inclined roll axis
- constant forward speed

KF model : Linear yaw / sideslip, 'bicycle'
KF algorithm : Linear & Linear time varying Inputs : Steer angle
Sensors : One or two Lateral Accels
Adaptation : Recursive least-squares based on estimated states





Study 1 results





Study 1 results



Errors due to underdetermined model :

$$\hat{C}_{of} = C_{of} \left(\frac{v - cr}{\hat{v} - cr} \right), \qquad \hat{C}_{or} = C_{or} \left(\frac{u\delta - v - br}{u\delta - \hat{v} - br} \right)$$



Study 1 results





Study 1 Conclusions

Good yaw rate estimation Excellent noise rejection

Model must be more detailed (higher order ?) f to avoid steady-state problems with Sideslip Velocity



Study 2 plan :

Introduce longitudinal mode, so steer induced deceleration decouples $C_{\alpha f}$ and v :



$$M\dot{u} = \frac{bC_{\alpha f}\delta}{u}r + \left(\frac{C_{\alpha f}\delta}{u} + Mr\right)v + Mhrp + \mu(w-u) - C_{\alpha f}\delta^{2}$$

Also introduce roll mode to expand on number of estimated states



Study 2 summary

Source model : KF model : KF algorithm : Real-time race game model Yaw / roll / sideslip / longitudinal Extended (nonlinear), involving

- recursive form of Ricatti equation
- matrix inversion
- Jacobian (derivative matrix) calcs

Sensors : Adaptation :

Longitudinal + 3 Lateral Accels

Nonlinear algorithm allows parameters to be defined as (eg slow-varying) states :

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\chi}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) \\ \dot{C}_{\alpha f}(t) \\ \dot{C}_{\alpha r}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t)) \\ \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}(t)) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\omega}(t) \\ \mathbf{\omega}_{\alpha}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$



Study 2 results





Study 2 results







Study 2 Conclusions

Basic principle works - better Sideslip estimation

- **1** Roll mode rather noisy (noise matrix tuning ?)
- **B** Unstable if adaptation rate is too high
- ? Why 're-identify' tyre with a changing C_{α} \longrightarrow Use nonlinear tyre in KF model



Study 3

Similar models and design to study 2, but :

- KF model now includes Pacejka formula for tyre forces
- More emphasis on scope for parameter adaptation (on-line model identification), through :

$$\dot{\mathbf{\chi}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}}^{s}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{\eta}}_{a}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} \left(\mathbf{x}^{s}(t), \mathbf{\eta}, \mathbf{u}(t) \right) \\ \mathbf{f}_{a} \left(\mathbf{x}^{s}(t), \mathbf{\eta}, \mathbf{u}(t) \right) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\omega}(t) \\ \mathbf{\omega}_{a}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$



Study 3 results







Conclusion : Sideslip Estimation

Accurate steady-state Sideslip velocity estimation is limited by model, and particularly tyre model accuracy

